

Cutting Edge News Alert

Thursday, June 24, 2010

Key News Events

4-DVD Set

I. President Obama relieved General McChrystal of his Afghan War Command yesterday.

Did McChrystal realize the war was being lost and wanted out before the loss was pinned on him?

Let us begin with an article from last week. Notice that the word, 'exits' was clearly used during Congressional testimony from General David Petraeus, the general who commanded the Iraqi "surge", a strategy which today is understood to have been the first step in getting out of Iraq.

NEWS BRIEF: "[The West is Tiptoeing to the Exit in Afghanistan](#)", The Wall Street Journal, June 16, 2010

"President Barack Obama has committed to starting withdrawals of American troops by July 2011. Is that wise? Gen. Petraeus offered what he described as a 'qualified yes'.

"There was a nuance to what the president said that was very important, that did not imply a race for the exits, a search for the light to turn off or anything like that', he said."



In diplomatic and political jargon, words can be expressed which convey the opposite meaning from their surface meaning. In this instance, General Petraeus and President Obama used the word "exits", denying that anyone was trying to rush to an exit out of Afghanistan. Yet, the very fact that he used this word should alert any discerning people that moving toward the exits in a dignified manner is exactly what the Obama Administration is planning!

Let us return to this Wall Street Journal article one more time:

"No race for the exits and no search for the light to turn off, then. Yet the focus is clearly very much on finding a dignified way out. Once the Afghan surge, the latest major Petraeus initiative, finishes next summer the policy looks set to be: Organize an orderly retreat and call it a victory. Enemies of the U.S. and NATO are, it has to be said, unlikely to draw the conclusion from this that they are deeply committed to a robust defense of Western values."

The medical facts are also clear: Western forces have spread enough [Depleted Uranium](#) in Afghanistan and in Iraq that these nations are doomed over the next 10 years. Further, people are going to start dying rapidly in both these countries from D.U. poisoning and it would not be wise to still have American troops in either country.

Now that we have established that President Obama is going to start "tiptoeing to the exit in Afghanistan", it seems that General McChrystal wants out of his Afghan War Command before he gets pinned with the loss. I think the general deliberately chose this fight with the President to both get out of his command before the "loss" word starts flowing forth and because he genuinely believes the timetable he is being forced to adhere to as he attempts to work out his strategy is

fatally flawed. The general is simply not being given enough time to win his counterinsurgency war.

NEWS BRIEF: "[McChrystal out, strategy remains](#)", The Boston Globe, June 24, 2010

"WASHINGTON President Obama yesterday replaced General Stanley A. McChrystal following the four-star general's disparaging remarks about the White House leadership. The president turned to General David H. Petraeus, architect of the Iraq war turnaround, to take over the US and NATO command in Afghanistan."

How I love the spin which the Mass Media puts on events. Notice, in the above sentence, General Petraeus is described as the "architect of the Iraq war turnaround", making it sound like we have won that war over there. What nonsense! We have lost that war, a fact which will one day soon become very apparent. What President Bush and General Petraeus accomplished in Iraq was to initiate a temporary troop "surge" which was a prelude to declaring victory and head for the exits -- exactly the same wording as this Wall Street Journal uses in describing Afghanistan!

Please take a few moments to read our two articles on the fact that we have not won the Iraq War and that the war is not over yet!

[NEWS2368](#) -- "Iraq War - Not Over By Any Means -- U.S. Still Headed For Planned Defeat"

[NEWS2369](#) - "Iraq War Not Over Yet - Part 2 - Why Did Skull & Bones Bush Invade?"

Now, let us return to our featured news article.

"Some Democrats expressed new concern that the war is not winnable. Other Democrats as well as some Republicans expressed worry that Obama who wants to start withdrawing troops in a year will not apply the resources needed to succeed or will pull out prematurely."

The wars in both Afghanistan and in Iraq are following the same script as the war in South Vietnam. The standard counterinsurgency effort put forth by all three of these wars placed great emphasis upon building up government forces so that they can defend the country against the insurgency once American and Coalition forces are withdrawn. The fly in this ointment is that everyone should know that the local forces can never, ever defend the country against the insurgents.

In Vietnam, the North Vietnamese waited two long years before they launched their fatal attack. However, during those two long years, American and South Vietnamese leaders continually told the American people that the counterinsurgency strategy had succeeded. Only when the North Vietnamese launched their final war, and only when the South Vietnamese people embraced them, was the awful truth finally revealed.

This is the way it will turn out in both Afghanistan and Iraq. General McChrystal and his staff know this reality and wanted out while they had their military reputations intact. Do not be deceived: America is heading for the exits in both wars, will declare victory in both wars and then will leave the local forces to meet their doom.



II. The most prestigious Israeli newspaper, the Jerusalem Post, has editorialized that the Israeli Defense Force should back down and let the approaching Gaza aid ships through the blockade!

NEWS BRIEF: "[Rattling the Cage: Let the flotillas through](#)" - Jerusalem Post Editorial by Larry Derfner", June 23, 2010

"If we forcibly stop the ships, itll be an even greater victory for the Islamists and an even worse humiliation for Israel ... The Iranians say the ship Infants of Gaza is due to sail on Sunday, carrying humanitarian aid and 10 pro- Palestinian activists to the Gazan shore. The Lebanese say two more relief ships, one of them carrying just women passengers, will leave soon for Cyprus and go on from there to Gaza."

The Islamic enemy of Israel has clearly thrown down the gauntlet, following the mass media victory they won in the first flotilla incident. Will Israel dissolve the naval blockade and let these approaching ships through?


"Israel has sworn to stop the ships, saying Gaza cannot become an 'Iranian port'. Navy commandos are preparing to face suicide bombers. I feel another fiasco in the making, only this time were in much worse shape because were still reeling from the one with the Mavi Marmara. So if these Iranian and Lebanese ships come sailing toward Gaza, I say we let them through."

"Itll be a victory for Iran, Lebanon and Hamas and a humiliation for Israel, as well as for the moderate West Bank Palestinians. The problem is that if we forcibly stop the ships, especially if theres bloodshed, which there well may be, itll be an even greater victory for the Islamists and an even worse humiliation for Israel and the West Bankers. Theres a clear downside to ending the blockade, but theres no future at all in maintaining it."

What will be the military effect of dissolving the blockade before the "aid" ships trying to pass through it?

"I seriously doubt that the Iranian and Lebanese ships will be carrying weapons of war to Gaza; their sponsors say they're sending humanitarian aid, and it would be a huge embarrassment for them to be caught red-handed by Israel, and they know there's a very good chance they'll be caught. So in all likelihood the ships' cargo will make life a little less harsh for Gazan civilians without making Hamas and the other terrorists there stronger militarily."

"It's not worth stopping them, not at the price of another political debacle and another round of bloodshed. Wars have started over much less, and I don't think we want to go to war over our so-called right to blockade Gaza ... And if this means that future ships will be bringing weapons of war to Hamas? The terrorists are already bringing in thousands of long-range rockets through the tunnels."



Will the Israeli government of Prime Minister Netanyahu, actually take this proposed course of action? I doubt it, for the Prime Minister has a "tough-guy" image to protect. Therefore, we will undoubtedly see Israel stop these ships and stop them again and again and again. Will there be bloodshed? There probably will be.

But, will all-out war start over this? I don't think so, because the various Arab governments in the Middle East are not quite ready to go to war against Israel. Rather, they are using this Gaza blockade as a political and diplomatic weapon against Israel, in order to make it quite difficult for "moderate" Arab regimes to continue their pro-West ways. Already, this dispute has given the Islamic civilian government the political ammunition it needs to finish

moving Turkey from the Western orbit to the Eastern, thus politically allying Turkey with the Iranian / Russian Magog forces, just as Ezekiel 38-39 foretells.

This next round of crisis between Israel and the Gaza "aid" ships may very well be aimed at moving traditional American and Israeli Arab allies out of the Western bloc and into the Eastern. Who are these countries whose policies have always been pro-American and pro-Israeli?

* **Egypt** -- her government actually cooperated with Israel in setting up the current naval blockade of Gaza, realizing that Hamas was more of a danger than Israel, because if the Egyptian people became infected with the radical fires which Hamas preaches, President Mubarak could be seriously in trouble, very quickly.

* **Jordan** -- this Hashemite Kingdom has long been more pro-West than pro-Islamic. In September, 1970, Jordan's King Hussein responded militarily to the threat which Yassir Arafat and his PLO posed to the sovereignty of the Kingdom, soundly defeating them. Arafat was thrown out of Jordan, never to threaten the pro-Western monarchy again.

If a second and third round of the Gaza "humanitarian ship" scenario goes badly for Israel in Arab media, the people of Jordan could be thoroughly roused to the Islamic cause, thus putting enormous pressure upon Jordan to move into the radical Islamic camp.


* **Syria** -- while her government is already allied with the cause of radical Islam, President Assad would probably welcome a more radicalized population because he then would have a more free hand to carry out his war plans against Israel.

* **Lebanon** -- Hezbollah and Syria would love the opportunity to move against the traditionally pro-Western government of Lebanon and finally overthrow them completely! Hezbollah has already made significant inroads against the pro-West government and would probably easily be able to complete the overthrow if the Lebanese people were completely enraged over the upcoming confrontation with the Gaza "aid" ships.

The [DVD "Isralestine"](#) thoroughly discusses the prophetic future of these very countries, recognizing that, in the final war of Magog, these countries are missing. They are not mentioned as being part of the prophetic lineup of Arab nations joining with Russia in her Magog invasion. Where are these "missing" countries? Unless they were dead, their leaders would not pass up the opportunity to march with Russia in what will be perceived as a "certain victory" against Israel.

The fact is, they are missing because they have been so destroyed that they will cease being political entities. This confrontation over the Gaza "Aid" ships could very well radicalize the populations of these countries so much that current leaders will be able to march in lockstep in a future war with Israel - a war which shall destroy them!

Prophecy is being fulfilled in your Daily News!



DVD

III. Will President Obama dramatically advance the North American Union by granting amnesty to illegal immigrants?

Cutting Edge has long felt that granting North American citizenship to illegal

immigrants will be one of the key factors in establishing [Supernation #1](#), the North American Union. Granting them amnesty may be the final interim step.

NEWS BRIEF: "[GOP Lawmakers Warn of Administration Plan to Grant Amnesty to Illegal Immigrants](#)", Fox News, June 23, 2010

"Eight Republican senators and an independent group that supports tighter limits on immigration are warning that the Obama administration is drafting a plan to 'unilaterally' issue blanket amnesty for millions of illegal immigrants as it struggles to win support in Congress for an overhaul of immigration laws. the administration is readying a "Plan B" in case a comprehensive reform bill cannot win enough support to clear Congress."

In other words, President Obama may be trying to pull a "Bill Clinton" by achieving through Executive Order what he could not get through Congress!

"Deferred action and parole, which give illegal immigrants the ability to seek a work permit and temporary legal status, are normally granted on a case-by-case basis. But the aide said the lawmakers have learned from 'sources' that the administration is considering flexing its authority to grant the status on a mass basis ... "

"Rosemary Jenks, director of government relations with Numbers USA, said she's been hearing for weeks from 'sources close to the Democratic leadership' in both chambers that administration officials are discussing whether the Department of Homeland Security could direct staff to grant 'amnesty' for all illegal immigrants in the country.

" 'They're trying to figure out ways around a vote', she said. 'Any attempt to force an amnesty on the American people using this underhanded method smacks of despotism', reads the fax the group is urging supporters to sign."

The bottom line is that government leaders from both sides of the political aisle are trying to discover a way to establish the North American Union. Central to any government are the citizens, so it seems logical for the Illuminati to consider granting interim amnesty to illegals as a step toward the final goal of granting them full citizenship in the North American Union.

We must fight this at all costs, through letters, emails and phone calls. Click here to get the necessary contact information.

[Thomas Legislative Information on the Internet](#)

Find out about bills in congress. Search the text of bills. Check out current legislation. Find the e-mail address and website of your Senator or Representative.

[Write your US Senator](#)

[Write your Congressman](#)